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Abstract

Damang Gold Mine (DGM) in Ghana uses open pit mining technology to mine its gold deposit. It has an estimated mineable gold reserve of about 32 Mt exploitable for 8 years. As the gold price kept falling from 2013 and operating cost kept rising, the mine down sized its operations. But the operations became challenging due to poor performance of ageing mining equipment and processing plant, and the need for a new tailings dam. As the gold price stabilised, it could be gainful to invest capital to resolve the challenges and increase production. This study aims at investigating whether DGM would be economically viable if the intended investment is made assuming the gold price falls to US$ 32.15/g. The study estimates the required capital and annual operating cost to be US$89.49 M and US$100.84 M respectively. A cash flow analysis is carried out assuming no price escalation, discount rate of 20%, and applying the following investment laws of Ghana: royalty of 5% of gross revenue; straight line depreciation of capital expenditure over five years (20% per year); investment allowance of 5% in the first year only; loss carry forward; and corporate tax of 35%. The results give Net Present Value of US$82 723 720.28 and Internal Rate of Return of 41.13%, indicating profitability. Sensitivity analysis reveals that the project will continue to be profitable until the revenue falls below 24%, assuming all other economic parameters remain constant. The project will also continue to be profitable until the operating cost increases beyond 30%, assuming all other economic parameters remain constant. Risk analysis on the project indicates the project has 70% chances of success. DGM could invest the capital to mine its gold reserves because the mine will make profit provided cost is controlled and production level maintained to generate needed revenue.
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1 Introduction

The Damang Gold Mine (DGM) of Abosso Goldfields Limited uses open pit mining technology to mine its oxide and fresh gold deposit. The run-of-mine ore is processed by a carbon-in-leach processing plant. The mine has a gold reserve of 32 Mt which can be exploited for 8 years (Anon., 2015). When the gold price started falling from 2013 and operating cost kept rising, the mine down sized its operations. Continued operations became challenging due to the poor performance of ageing mining equipment, processing plant with the associated high maintenance costs, and the need for the construction of a tailings storage facility as the existing almost got full. To deal with these challenges and still make profit, a capital injection of US$89.49 M and an annual operating cost of US$100.84 M are required. With the gold price is stabilising, DGM would like to invest capital to solve the problems of the ageing mining equipment and processing plant and construct a new tailings dam. It is expected that after solving these problems, production can be increased to generate sufficient revenues and so make DGM a profitable mine. To this end, an economic evaluation is required to ascertain the profitability of such an investment. This work thus aims at investigating whether DGM would be economically viable if the intended investment is made, assuming the gold price even falls further to stabilise at US$ 32.15/g (US$ 1000/oz).

Damang is located near Tarkwa in the Western Region of Ghana (See Fig. 2.1). DGM concession covers a total area of 25 016 ha. According to Kesse (1985), the Damang orebody lies within the Tarkwaian System, which forms a significant portion of the stratigraphy of the Ashanti Belt in southwest Ghana, and is hosted by north to northwesterly plunging antiform developed within Tarkwaian rocks.

The main Damang pit is located close to the closure of the antiform and all other known mineralisation is located on the east and west limbs of the Damang anticline. The antiformal closure plunges shallowly to the north, whereas the eastern and western limbs of the antiform dip steeply to the east and west respectively. DGM exploits oxide and fresh hydrothermal mineralisation in addition to Witwatersrand style palaeoplacer mineralisation.

DGM experiences a tropical climate, characterised by two distinct rainy seasons from March to July, and September to November. Average annual rainfall in the area is 2 030 mm. Temperatures are high throughout the year and range from 23 °C to 28 °C (Anon., 2015). Although there are few disruptions to mining operations during the wet season, production continues throughout the year.
2 Resources and Methods Used

2.1 Materials

The data used in this study includes reports on the mineral reserves of DGM, mill production capacity, assumed gold price of US$ 32.15/g, unit cost data, method of financing in addition to the other operating cost parameters of the mine.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Revenue Estimate

The gross revenue for each operating year is estimated using Equation 1 (Mireku-Gyimah, 2016):

\[ R = [(T)(1 - L)(1 + D)](G)(r)(P) \]  

where

- \( T \) = Tonnage of ore produced per year (t/yr);
- \( G \) = Mill head grade (g/t);
- \( r \) = Mill recovery (in decimal);
- \( P \) = Unit price of processed ore (US$/g);
- \( L \) = Ore loss (in decimal); and
- \( D \) = Ore dilution (in decimal).

2.2.2 Capital Cost Estimate

The entire re-evaluation exercise of the mine is necessitated by the challenges of the aged processing plant and mining fleet, and exhausted tailings storage facility, all in the face of the falling gold price. The major cost drivers are thus pronounced in these areas where a new sag mill is to be bought, a tailings dam built and actual mining done using contractor equipment. The capital costs are grouped into the following categories (see Table 1):

(i) Pre-production Cost;
(ii) Direct Capital Cost;
(iii) Indirect Capital Cost; and
(iv) Allowances.

Each of the categories has definite costs estimated using detail cost estimation method.

2.2.3 Operating Cost Estimate

In estimating the operation costs, it is assumed that both skilled and unskilled labour are available in Ghana. Therefore, mainly local employees will be used for the project. The remuneration for employees is determined by consultation between Mine Workers Union and DGM. The estimates are based on a working regime of two shifts per day, ten hours per shift, and 350 days per year. The annual operating costs are summarised in Table 2.

2.2.4 Investment Decision Criteria

The following general investment criteria are used in this study (Mireku-Gyimah, 2016):

(i) If a project’s NPV is positive (NPV>0), the project is considered to be economically
acceptable. When the NPV is zero (NPV = 0), the project breaks even. When the NPV is negative (NPV < 0), the project is considered to be economically unacceptable; and

(ii) If a project’s IRR is greater than the minimum rate of return (MRR), i.e. (IRR > MRR), the project is considered to be economically acceptable. When the IRR is equal to the minimum rate of return (IRR = MRR), the project breaks even. When the IRR is less than the minimum rate of return (IRR < MRR), the project is considered to be economically unacceptable.

2.2.6 Cash Flow (CF) Analysis

Cash flow is explained as the difference between the total cash receipts (inflows) and total cash disbursements (outflows) for a given period of time, typically one year (Stermole and Franklin, 1993). Mathematically, cash flow may be expressed as follows (Equation 2):

\[ CF = \sum (\text{Cash Inflows}) - \sum (\text{Cash Outflows}) \quad (2) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Details of Capital Cost Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost Centre</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-production Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration, and Consultancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-investment Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Exploration Drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Capital Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor Mobilisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction &amp; Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterilisation drilling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAG Mill and Crusher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tailings Dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure and Site Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refurbishment of Accommodation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Capital Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Capital Cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Summary of the Annual Operating Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost Centre</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overheads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The annual revenue from a viable venture should be able to pay for operating cost and all other liabilities such as royalties before tax obligations are fulfilled and any interest on loan paid.

According to McDivitt and Jeffery (1976), a very economically attractive investment may be rejected for financial or intangible reasons; e.g. taxes on mining ventures could go up as high as 80% in Chile in the 1950s. The Government of Ghana, however, allows for depreciation, depletion, amortisation, and other deferred deductions on mining businesses to enable them recover the cost of the huge investments made. Therefore, taking into consideration the fact that DGM is located in Ghana, the following mineral investment laws are applied in calculating the yearly cash flows:

(i) Royalty: Any mineral project in Ghana must pay a flat royalty rate of 5% on the gross revenue to the Ghana government.

(ii) Income Tax: An operating mine is by law expected to pay income tax of 35%.

(iii) Windfall Tax (WT): An operating mine is required to pay a windfall tax at the rate of 10%. The WT is payable on the Carry-Forward Cash Balance (CFCB) which can be calculated as:

\[ \text{CFCB} = T_I - (I_T + C_I + A_I) + (I + C_A + L_F) \]

where \(T_I\) is the taxable income, \(I_T\) is the income tax, \(C_I\) is the capital invested, \(A_I\) is the addition to inventory, \(I\) is the interest paid on capital, \(C_A\) is the capital allowances, all in the year of assessment, and \(L_F\) is the loss brought forward from the previous year. Though Windfall Tax is currently not enforced in Ghana, it is captured here as a precautionary tax component.

(iv) Capital Allowance: An operating mine is entitled to a capital allowance which is a straight-line depreciation of capital expenditure over 5 years (20% per year).

(v) Investment allowance of 5% is allowed in the 1st year only.

(vi) Loss Carry-Forward: An operating mine is entitled to carrying forward the loss incurred in a particular year of assessment to the next year, except that the amount carried forward should not exceed the capital allowance (depreciation) in that year.
The cash flow analysis is based on the following assumptions:

(i) Base gold price is fixed at US$ 32.15/g;
(ii) The minimum rate of return is 20%;
(iii) Working capital is 10% of the operating cost; and
(iv) The project is 100% equity funded.

2.2.7 Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity Analysis is done to determine the effect of changes in a selected economic parameter on the economic viability of the project while all other economic parameters remain constant. In this study, sensitivity analysis is conducted to investigate the effect of changes in capital cost, operating cost and revenue on the economic viability of the project. This is carried out by varying one of the economic parameters by ±20% intervals up to ±60% while keeping the other parameters constant and calculating the NPV and IRR consequent to the changes.

2.2.8 Risk Analysis

Risk can be defined as follows (Eshun, 2007):

(i) The dispersion of the probability distribution of a variable, the value of which is being predicted;
(ii) Uncertainty associated with the financial outcome of a particular investment proposal; or
(iii) The probability of occurrence of different values of each investment parameter.

Each of these definitions attests to the fact that risk is a measure of uncertainty. Two main risks are associated with mining projects, vis: country risk and mineral project risk.

Country risk can be political, geographical, economic or social. Instability of tax rates, foreign ownership policy and unstable governments constitute sources of political risk. Smith and Kerry (1982) suggest that a higher discount rate should always be selected to account for country risk since it is very difficult to quantify.

Mineral risk, however, can be quantified economically and analysed. Mineral project risk factors include uncertainties associated with the estimation of grade, tonnage, mine life, revenues, capital and operating costs. It also includes the possibility of poor mine recovery and high dilution as a result of geological conditions, unsuitable mining system, and poor mining sequence to meet production schedules. This study uses the Monte Carlo simulation method, which is widely accepted for mineral project risk analysis (Eshun, 2007), to determine the risk associated with the DGM recapitalisation project. In all, 500 iterations are run to generate simultaneous random values for each of revenue, operating cost and capital cost. The simultaneous effects that the input variables have on the profitability of the project are then determined. Frequency distribution curves are generated for each of the profit indicators (IRR and NPV) using their mean values. The probabilities of success and failure are inferred from the cumulative frequency distribution curves.

3 Results and Discussion

From Equation (1) the gross revenue for each operating year was calculated using the data:

\[ R = \left[ (4030000) \left( 1 - 0.1 \right) \left( 1 + 0.1 \right) \right] \left( 1.65 \right) \left( 0.95 \right) \left( 32.15 \right) \]

\[ = US$201,061,430.21 \]

In the first year however, when the mine would process low grade ore from the stockpiles, at an average of 0.91g/t, revenue will be:

\[ R = \left[ (4030000) \left( 1 - 0.1 \right) \left( 1 + 0.1 \right) \right] \left( 0.91 \right) \left( 0.95 \right) \left( 32.15 \right) \]

\[ = US$110,888,425 \]

From Table 2, it can be seen that the annual operating cost is US$ 100.84 x 10^6 obtained by using detailed cost estimation method.

Table 1 presents the capital cost estimate. It can be deduced that the total capital cost of the project is US$ 89.49 x 10^6. DGM has decided to fund the recapitalisation project. In all, 500 iterations are run to generate simultaneous random values for each of revenue, operating cost and capital cost. The simultaneous effects that the input variables have on the profitability of the project are then determined. Frequency distribution curves are generated for each of the profit indicators (IRR and NPV) using their mean values. The probabilities of success and failure are inferred from the cumulative frequency distribution curves.
Annual Working Capital = US$10.084 x 10^6

The results of the cash flow analysis are summarised in Table 3. It is observed from the results that the mine has a Net Present Value (NPV) of US$82,723,720.28 and Internal Rate of Return (IRR) of 41.13%. Since the NPV>0 and the IRR>MRR, it can be resolved that the mine is profitable.

The results of the sensitivity analysis are presented in Figs. 2 and 3 for NPV and IRR respectively. The results show that:

(i) If all other economic parameters remain constant, the mine can withstand up to 24% drop in revenue and still be profitable;

(ii) If all other economic parameters remain constant, the mine can withstand up to 30% increase in operating cost and still be profitable; and

(iii) The mine is not very sensitive to capital cost; increase in capital cost by say 30% can be accommodated, if need be.

The results of the risk analysis performed on the project are presented as cumulative frequency distributions of NPV and IRR in Figs. 4 and 5 respectively. The risk profiles indicate that the probability of failure, i.e. the probability that NPV≤0, and IRR≤MRR is 30%; which implies that probability of success is 70%.

Table 3 Cash Flow Analysis for Damang Mine (Base Case Scenario)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Working Capital</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,084,000.00</td>
<td>4,504,003.75</td>
<td>90,168,358.70</td>
<td>17,868,496.25</td>
<td>64,014,936.16</td>
<td>100,840,000.00</td>
<td>17,898,000.00</td>
<td>89,490,000.00</td>
<td>100,840,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Operating Cost (per yr)</td>
<td></td>
<td>US$32,151,678.67</td>
<td>US$18,000,000.00</td>
<td>US$47,118,492.97</td>
<td>US$275,909,689.00</td>
<td>US$158,813,408.87</td>
<td>US$100,840,000.00</td>
<td>US$17,898,000.00</td>
<td>US$58,609,433.15</td>
<td>US$100,840,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV (US$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$82,723,720.28</td>
<td>$10,084,000.00</td>
<td>$89,490,000.00</td>
<td>$100,840,000.00</td>
<td>$17,898,000.00</td>
<td>$58,609,433.15</td>
<td>$100,840,000.00</td>
<td>$17,898,000.00</td>
<td>$89,490,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRR</td>
<td></td>
<td>41.13%</td>
<td>16.86%</td>
<td>10.69%</td>
<td>6.42%</td>
<td>2.15%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 Effect of Changes in Economic Parameters on NPV
Fig. 3 Effect of Changes in Economic Parameters on IRR

Fig. 4 Cumulative Frequency Distribution of NPV Index

Fig. 5 Cumulative Frequency Distribution of IRR Index
4 Conclusions and Recommendation

4.1 Conclusions

The following conclusions are drawn from the study:

(i) The mineable (proven and probable) reserves are 31.5 Mt with an average grade of 1.65 g/t (for 2nd to 8th year only). These reserves are to be mined at a production rate of 4.03 Mt per year using open-pit mining method. The run-off-mine ore is to be treated by carbon-in-leach processing plant.

(ii) The capital investment required for the mining of the deposit is US$89.49 x 10^9. The annual operating cost is US$100.84 x 10^9.

(iii) The results of the cash flow analysis show that, with a gold price of US$ 32.15/g (US$ 1000/oz) and a minimum rate of return of 20%, the project’s Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR) are US$82 723 720.27 and 41.13% respectively. These results give an indication of profitability.

(iv) Sensitivity analysis shows that the project will continue to be profitable until revenue falls below 24%, assuming all other economic parameters remain constant. The project will also continue to be profitable until the operating cost increases beyond 30%, assuming all other economic parameters remain constant.

(v) A risk analysis performed on the project using Monte Carlo simulation method indicates 70% probability of success and 30% failure.

4.2 Recommendation

It is recommended that DGM should go ahead and invest capital to mine the gold reserves because, all things being equal, the mine will make profit as long as cost control measures are implemented to ensure that the operating cost does not increase beyond 30% and efforts made to control grade and maintain ore supply of 4.03 Mt per year to ensure that revenue does not fall below 24%.
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